

# MICROLEARNING

SHORT AND SWEET

KARL M.  
KAPP

ROBYN A.  
DEFELICE



© 2019 ASTD DBA the Association for Talent Development (ATD)  
All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

22 21 20 19

1 2 3 4 5

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, information storage and retrieval systems, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law. For permission requests, please go to [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com), or contact Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 (telephone: 978.750.8400; fax: 978.646.8600).

ATD Press is an internationally renowned source of insightful and practical information on talent development, training, and professional development.

ATD Press  
1640 King Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314 USA

Ordering information: Books published by ATD Press can be purchased by visiting ATD's website at [www.td.org/books](http://www.td.org/books) or by calling 800.628.2783 or 703.683.8100.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2019945544

ISBN-10: 1-949036-73-1  
ISBN-13: 978-1-949036-73-2  
e-ISBN: 978-1-949036-74-9

ATD Press Editorial Staff  
Director: Sarah Halgas  
Manager: Melissa Jones  
Community of Practice Manager, Learning Technologies: Justin Brusino  
Developmental Editor: Jack Harlow  
Text Design: Michelle Jose and Shirley E.M. Raybuck  
Cover Design: Faceout Studio, Spencer Fuller  
Printed by Data Reproductions Corporation, Auburn Hills, MI

**Buy This Book**

# Contents

<b>Foreword .....</b>	v
<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	ix
<b>Section 1. Foundations .....</b>	1
1. What Is Microlearning?.....	3
2. Learning Principles and Microlearning.....	21
3. Uses of Microlearning .....	41
4. How to Put Microlearning Into Action .....	55
<b>Section 2. Planning &amp; Development .....</b>	69
5. Creating a Microlearning Strategy .....	71
6. Planning and Implementing Microlearning.....	89
7. Designing Microlearning.....	109
8. Measuring the Effectiveness of Microlearning .....	137
<b>Conclusion.....</b>	157
<b>References .....</b>	171
<b>About the Authors .....</b>	177
<b>Index .....</b>	181

# Foreword

## Why We Wrote This Book

At first, the notion of writing a book on microlearning was a bit preposterous. Shouldn't we just apply the microlearning concept we are writing about to develop the material? After all, isn't a book something you sit down and read over the course of several hours? If you had to make the comparison, that feels more like a full-length training program. Why wouldn't we chunk the content into tiny portions, convert to PowerPoint slides, add some narration, and post it online? Isn't that what microlearning is all about?

Well, to be honest, no. That's why we decided a book was needed to demystify what microlearning really is, to offer all the learning theories and research that support it, and to present an actionable road map for planning, implementing, designing, and evaluating it.

All learning approaches need defined foundations, valid theory and research to support the method, and a look at the development process from analysis through to evaluation. We know microlearning is trending, and many are enthusiastic to adopt it. However, we also get that not everyone knows how or why to use microlearning. And even more important is to ask, should they?

Today, there is little that provides the comprehensive background necessary to make informed training design decisions about microlearning. In doing our research, we found nuggets of great information on the topic, but not a comprehensive guidebook to assist in making those efforts actionable.

We wouldn't implement an entirely new approach to learning without doing the upfront research or perhaps a pilot to determine its value for our organization or client. Why would you do that with microlearning? That's why we wrote this book, to provide that beginning for you.

Microlearning may seem like just another item to put in the instructional designer's (ID's) toolkit; use sound instructional design practices, plan the initiative, and off you go! However, that method may only work for a small minority of IDs. With any learning approach, there are nuances you must recognize because they alter our standard methods of developing learning. Microlearning is no different.

For example, the idea that microlearning is a quick and easy way to jazz up a stale learning program is a bit of a myth. Microlearning can actually take just as long, if not more time, to develop and implement. This is because microlearning is typically distributed over a period of time. If that's not what your organization does for standard implementation, it may woefully underestimate the resources necessary for executing the solution. It's not always as simple as uploading a program into a learning management system (LMS) and providing notice of a new course. It could take time every week, month, or business quarter to create and launch the microlearning initiative your organization created.

What we are saying is that microlearning needs as much attention from an instructional design standpoint as any other form of training. Keeping this in mind will help keep microlearning from being another

“learning trend” your company attempted to adopt but failed. If this has happened, we hope this book provides the confidence you need to give microlearning a much deserved second try!

## The Best Way to Read This Book

*Microlearning: Short and Sweet* is designed to accommodate the novice through to the pros. The practical approach to the topics provides an opportunity to pick and choose what you need to answer your most immediate questions on the subject—or to dive deeper to gain a more comprehensive understanding.

No matter your level of familiarity with microlearning concepts, we encourage all readers to start with chapter 1. We not only provide examples of microlearning, but we dive into what microlearning is and is not to help clear up any misconceptions. Additionally, we’ve compiled several definitions of what microlearning is and developed a common set of characterizations that allowed us to operationalize a standard definition. Reviewing this chapter first, whether you’re tenured in training development or new to the topic, ensures that as you read other chapters you have the appropriate context.

Now, from there it depends on what you are seeking to know or do. If you are a traditionalist in reading books, the layout of the chapters provides a logical path through the subject matter. Chapter 2 digs into the learning theory and domains that microlearning complements. Chapter 3 highlights the use cases of microlearning. Then, chapter 4 offers a primer on microlearning from a “why it works and when to use it” perspective. Having an idea of what you are hoping to teach, train, develop, and so on in the back of your head while you read these chapters will help you visualize which principles and practices will work best for your subject matter.

Chapters 5, 6, 7, and 8 get to the “hands dirty” part of microlearning: creating, designing, implementing, and evaluating microlearning products. To help elaborate the key concepts of each chapter, we have woven in case studies that were generously shared by peers leading the way in microlearning. There are a lot of folks out there doing great things with microlearning, and each case exemplifies points we make throughout the book.

With each of these chapters we look at the design and development process, not only from its impact on the learner, but also its impact on the learning developer. Our years in the field have taught us that we must be realists about what we can do as learning development professionals, given the organizational constraints and interdependencies we’re presented with. We want the best for our learners, but as the developers, we know we must work under certain constraints.

We conclude the book with a recap of the key takeaways from each chapter and then take a brief look at what the future may have in store for microlearning design and development.

We hope this book fuels your creative mind to see the endless possibilities for microlearning in your organization.



# **SECTION 1**

# **FOUNDATIONS**

# 1.

# What Is Microlearning?

---

## *Chapter Questions*

At the end of this chapter, you should be able to answer:

- What is microlearning?
  - What is microlearning not?
  - What are some examples of effective microlearning?
  - What are the advantages of microlearning?
- 

Is microlearning a text message? Is it a video? Does it need to be shorter than five minutes? Is it just “chunking” a course into smaller pieces? Is it bigger than a breadbox? Is it larger than a molecule?

Many questions swirl around the term *microlearning*, and until recently, there were few answers. Or, more precisely, few answers could be found in one place. Here we attempt to converge all the definitions, research, practice, and implementation of microlearning into a single guidebook, providing a road map for you to visualize, create, and deploy the microlearning you need. We’re offering the short and sweet of microlearning.

## **Microlearning in Action**

Let's start with examples of the concept of microlearning. Perhaps you already have a definition. As you read the following scenarios, see if your definition aligns with them (and know that it might not fit all instances). If you don't already have a preferred definition, be prepared to glean a lot of what microlearning is, because the idea has many layers.

### **Persuading Healthier Living Habits**

Diabetes is a serious illness. Fortunately, in many pre-diabetic individuals, type 2 diabetes can be prevented by lifestyle modifications, such as additional exercise and cutting down on sugary foods and beverages. To that point, researchers studied the effects of microlearning's ability to alter the lifestyles of Indian men with impaired glucose tolerance.

The participants were randomly assigned to either a control group or a mobile phone messaging program. The test group received two text messages a day encouraging them to eat right and exercise. The control group received a standard one-time training and education program, during which they received information about eating correctly and the value of a modified lifestyle to prevent the onset of type 2 diabetes.

After two years, the cumulative incidence of diabetes was lower in those who received text messages than in the control group. The results were statistically significant. In fact, the microlearning presented to the men twice daily resulted in a relative risk reduction of 36 percent (Ramachandran et. al 2013).

### **Increasing Employee Engagement and Having Fun**

Intercontinental Hotels Group (IHG) is a global company including nine hotel brands in nearly 100 countries. Their vision is to become one

of the great companies in the world by creating “great hotels guests love.” The company values its people and believes quality is important. So, it wanted to explore innovation in the area of training for existing members of the quality team. It also wanted to improve on the consistency and knowledge base of its employees.

IHG decided to use a gamified platform called mLevel that would provide “missions,” or microlearning modules delivered with traditional game elements such as points and leaderboards but also with a game interface. Employees could play games and learn about quality.

The team selected for training was located in North America and Latin America; each team member (called a quality consultant) is responsible for maintaining quality standards at the up to 60–70 IHG hotel properties they each serve. The team director had two goals for the program: to engage the quality consultants to participate in training and enjoy it, and to measure their knowledge attainment by assessing where they started out versus what they learned after completing the training program.

Results showed that the program worked in terms of motivating the team—when asked about their motivation to play, 64 percent of the team members wanted to increase their knowledge and skill of brand standards, and 29 percent were motivated by their love of competition and wanting to be the best. According to a survey of the team members, 100 percent of the participants were interested in using games and microlearning for future program and process roll-outs. Additionally, the participants would recommend the gamified solution to a colleague 9.2 times out of 10. In answering a survey question about whether they had fun playing and learning, the result was a score of 4.4 out of 5 (mLevel 2015).

## **Offering Post-Instruction Product Review**

Juan has just watched the new product launch. He is overwhelmed by the amount of information that was presented during the hour-and-a-half webinar, and now he needs to memorize the features and functionality so he can begin selling it effectively. How is he going to do that when he was barely able to remember everything from last month's product launch?

Fortunately for Juan, his company has just implemented a new educational app to help with product launches. Every day for four weeks, Juan receives a message encouraging him to answer three multiple-choice questions about the new product launch. The questions are timed, so he has to be quick to answer; to make things even more intense, he is competing against other salespeople in the organization. He has to be fast and correct with his answers to climb up the leaderboard.

Juan is doing alright—he isn't at the top of the leaderboard, but he's not at the bottom either. What Juan likes best is that he's recalling the information and concepts from the questions every time he is on a sales call. He is learning the information almost effortlessly and is even having fun answering the questions. The app presents questions he answers incorrectly more frequently than those he gets right. He also has begun studying the company's website, so he can be prepared to answer upcoming questions. His next goal is to move up on the leaderboard.

But Juan isn't the only one noticing the usage or engagement with the app. In fact, his firm is noticing some dramatic advantages. For one, after the initial 90-minute webinar, the sales force was in the field selling, not sitting in a classroom or behind a computer learning about the new product.

Second, they noticed that compared with all the launches done in the two years before this one, the sales team built one of the quickest

pipelines for this product ever. The approach of quizzing sales people with product information on a daily basis both improved product knowledge and helped the team build the sales pipeline quickly and effectively.

## Prompting Recall of Procedures

Having only been on the job for two weeks, it was hard for Jane to remember every little detail about how to take a customer order. She had to remember how to check the credit score, how to look up the right product when the customer didn't know the product number, and how to properly save the order and open another when a new customer was on the line.

When it was time for her first return, she got nervous. She vaguely remembered something about customer returns from the training class, but she could not remember exactly what she needed to do; her palms were starting to get clammy. Fortunately, as she looked at the queue of incoming calls, she also saw the button next to the caller ID, which said, "Review Returns." When she clicked the button, a short, 15-second video appeared reminding her of the steps that she needed to take and what was required from the customer for a return.

After watching the video, she took the call from the customer. Within two minutes, she was able to handle the return, refund the customer's credit card, and move on to the next call.

## Practicing for Academic Pay-Offs

Ashley was studying organic chemistry and ran into a road block. She was having major trouble recalling all the terms and definitions. She couldn't remember the difference between *dissociation* and *disproportionation*, not to mention the definition of *tautomerism* and a hundred

other terms. She needed a methodology to wrap her head around all these terms and definitions. Luckily for her, she had three classes with the smartest first-year student, Nancy.

One day over lunch, Ashley asked Nancy how she had memorized all those organic chemistry terms. Nancy said that she had downloaded a flash card app and entered every term and corresponding definition from the textbook into the app. Now, she quizzes herself daily on the terms, taking advantage of the app's ability to track which definitions she gets right and which she gets wrong.

Flashcards? Ashley was a little skeptical. She thought that was an elementary school trick, not something for a serious topic like organic chemistry. Nancy disagreed—she knew a lot of chem and bio majors who used flash card apps, and even had friends in medical school who used them.

Ashley decided to give the app a try and was amazed at how much better she performed on her next organic chemistry test. She now uses digital flash cards for every class, and is well on her way to making the Dean's list for the first time ever.



So, are these the types of examples that come to mind when you think about microlearning? Have they expanded your idea of the concept? Have they narrowed it?

Our examples show the potential we have to change behaviors, increase knowledge, and hone skills in mere minutes every day. We can do this by creating quick, meaningful interactions through games, quizzes, flashcards, videos, and text messages. We can push microlearning to learners or, as learners, we can pull microlearning to help ourselves. In

summary, microlearning relies on a goal or objective, interactions, and the delivery mechanism for its success. We'll dig into these attributes next by defining *microlearning*.

## What Is Microlearning?

It turns out that defining microlearning is not as simple as you might think. The current reality of microlearning is that it's constantly evolving and changing. Vendors, educational institutions, and training departments are working hard to implement a somewhat nebulous and elusive concept. But when it comes to microlearning in general, many professionals ask, "What exactly is it?"

Many have defined the concept of microlearning. Here are a few:

- Carla Torgerson, a pioneer in the microlearning space and author of *The Microlearning Guide to Microlearning*, defines it as "A piece of learning content that can be consumed in no more than five minutes" (Torgerson 2016).
- Shannon Tipton, a knowledgeable and well-known expert in microlearning, provides this insight: "Your microlearning creation will need to address the desire of people to learn any time in any place, placing people in control of their learning destiny. Microlearning is simple, short, and engaging. This is not to say we are 'dumbing down' learning for the sake of being short or narrow focused. Quite the opposite. When appropriately applied, microlearning can allow for deeper encoding, reflection, and practice retrieval—all necessary for the successful exchange of knowledge and learning application" (Tipton 2017).
- Author Theo Hug offers a slightly more academic take on microlearning. In his chapter in *Didactics of Microlearning*,

Helmwart Hierdeis sums up Hug's definition of microlearning as "an expression of a specific perspective which, in contrast to meso and macro aspects, is directed towards relatively small and time-restricted learning units and activities." Hug primarily defines microlearning as not being meso, which is an intermediate level of learning design (course level), or macro, which is at the global or overall curriculum level. It's the smallest instructional unit (Hierdeis 2007).

- JD Dillon, chief learning architect at the microlearning platform company Axonify, has been working with microlearning for more than 10 years. He uses the following to define microlearning: "Microlearning is an approach to training that delivers content in short, focused bites. To be effective, microlearning must fit naturally into the daily workflow, engage employees in voluntary participation, be based in brain science (how people actually learn), adapt continually to ingrain the knowledge employees need to be successful, and ultimately drive behaviors that impact specific business results" (Dillon 2018).
- Learning expert Will Thalheimer describes microlearning as "relatively short engagements in learning-related activities—typically ranging from a few seconds up to 20 minutes (or up to an hour in some cases)—that may provide any combination of content presentation, review, practice, reflection, behavioral prompting, performance support, goal reminding, persuasive messaging, task assignments, social interaction, diagnosis, coaching, management interaction, or other learning-related methodologies" (Thalheimer 2017).

# References

- Anderson, L.W., and D.R. Krathwohl. 2001. *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing*, Abridged Edition. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Armstrong, R.J. 1970. *Developing and Writing Behavioral Objectives*. Tucson, AZ: Educational Innovators Press.
- Bandura, A., D. Ross, and S.A. Ross. 1961. “Transmission of Aggression Through the Imitation of Aggressive Models.” *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology* 63(3): 575–582.
- Bixler, B. 2007. “Psychomotor Domain Taxonomy.” <http://users.rowan.edu/~cone/curriculum/psychomotor.htm>.
- Bjork. 2012. “1. Retrieval as a Memory Modifier.” Bjork Learning and Forgetting Lab. <https://bjorklab.psych.ucla.edu/research/#itemI>.
- Brown, A.H., and T.D. Green. 2011. *The Essentials of Instructional Design: Connecting Fundamental Principles With Process and Practice*, 2nd ed. New York: Pearson.
- Burns, R.A. 1985. “Information Impact and Factors Affecting Recall (Report No. ED258639).” Paper presented at the Annual National Conference on Teaching Excellence and Conference of Administrators. Austin, Texas, May 22–25.

- Carpenter, S.K. 2014. "Spacing and Interleaving of Study and Practice." In *Applying Science of Learning in Education: Infusing Psychological Science Into the Curriculum*, edited by V.A. Benassi, C.E. Overson, and C.M. Hakala. Society for the Teaching of Psychology, <http://teachpsych.org/ebooks/asle2014/index.php>.
- Carpenter, S.K., and E.L. DeLosh. 2005. "Application of the Testing and Spacing Effects to Name Learning." *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 19(5): 619–636.
- Clark, R.C., and R.E. Mayer. 2011. *E-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia*, 3rd ed. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Dempster, F.N. 1987. "Effects of Variable Encoding and Spaced Presentations on Vocabulary Learning." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 79:162–170.
- Dick, W., L. Carey, and J.O. Carey. 2009. *The Systematic Design of Instruction*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Pearson.
- Dillon, JD. 2018. "Microlearning: The Ultimate Guide." Axonify, July 19. <https://axonify.com/microlearning/#definition>.
- Dirksen, J. 2016. *Design for How People Learn*, 2nd ed. Berkeley, CA: New Riders.
- Dobson, J.L. 2013. "Retrieval Practice Is an Efficient Method of Enhancing the Retention of Anatomy and Physiology Information." *Advances in Physiology Education* 37:184–191.
- Downes, S. 2010. "New Technology Supporting Informal Learning." *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Web Intelligence* 2(1): 27–33.
- Duffy, T.M., and D.H. Jonassen. 1992. *Constructivism and the Technology of Instruction: A Conversation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ericsson, A., and R. Pool. 2016. *Peak: Secrets From the New Science of Expertise*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

- Finkenbinder, E.O. 1913. "The Curve of Forgetting." *The American Journal of Psychology* 24:8–32.
- Friesens, N., and T. Hug. 2007. "Outline of a Microlearning Agenda." In *Didactics of Microlearning: Concepts, Discourses & Examples*, edited by T. Hug, 15–34. New York: Waxman.
- Gates, A.I. 1917. "Recitation as a Factor in Memorizing." *Archives of Psychology* 6(40).
- Gredler, M.E. 1997. *Learning and Instruction: Theory and Practice*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Guo, P.J., J. Kim, and R. Rubin. 2014. "How Video Production Affects Student Engagement: An Empirical Study of MOOC Videos." ACM Conference on Learning at Scale. <http://pgbovine.net/edX-video-production-research.htm>.
- Harvard University. 2013. "Online Learning: It's Different." ScienceDaily, April 4. [www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/04/130404122240.htm](http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/04/130404122240.htm).
- Heller, O., W. Mack, J. Seitz. 1991. "Replikation der Ebbinghaus'schen Vergessenskurve mit der Ersparnis-methode: 'Das Behalten und Vergessen als Function der Zeit.'" *Zeitschrift für Psychologie* 199:3–18.
- "Hermann Ebbinghaus." Wikipedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hermann\\_Ebbinghaus&oldid=888300069](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hermann_Ebbinghaus&oldid=888300069).
- Hierdeis, H. 2007. "From Meno to Microlearning: A Historical Survey." In *Didactics of Microlearning*, edited by T. Hug, 35–52. New York: Waxmann.
- Hodell, C. 2011. *ISD From the Ground Up*, 3rd ed. Alexandria, VA: ASTD Press.
- Jonassen, D., M. Davidson, M. Collins, J. Campbell, and B.B. Haag. 1995. "Constructivism and Computer-Mediated Communication in Distance Education." *American Journal of Distance Education* 9(2): 7-26.

- Kapp, K. 2003. *Winning E-Learning Proposals*. Boca Raton, FL: J. Ross Publishing.
- Kerfoot, B.P. 2010. "Adaptive Spaced Education Improves Learning Efficiency: A Randomized Controlled Trial." *Journal of Urology* 183(2): 678–681.
- Krathwohl, D.R., B.S. Bloom, and B.B. Masia. 1964. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals. Handbook II: The Affective Domain*. New York: David McKay.
- Landauer, T.K., and R.A. Bjork. 1978 "Optimal Rehearsal Patterns and Name Learning." In *Practical Aspects of Memory*, edited by M.M. Gruneberg, P.E. Morris, and R.N. Sykes. London: Academic Press, 625–632.
- Lattuca, L.R., and J.S. Stark. 2009. *Shaping the College Curriculum: Academic Plans in Context*, 2nd ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- "Learning Taxonomy—Simpson's Psychomotor Domain." [https://assessment.uconn.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/1804/2016/06/LearningTaxonomy\\_Psychomotor.pdf](https://assessment.uconn.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/1804/2016/06/LearningTaxonomy_Psychomotor.pdf)
- Long, A., B.P. Kerfoot, S. Chopra, T. Shaw. 2010. "Online Spaced Education to Supplement Live Courses." *Med Educ* 44:519–520.
- mLevel. 2015. "IHG Case Study." mLevel.com. [www.mlevel.com/blog/case-studies/ihg-case-study](http://www.mlevel.com/blog/case-studies/ihg-case-study).
- Murre, J.M.J., and J. Dros. 2015. "Replication and Analysis of Ebbinghaus' Forgetting Curve." *PLoS ONE* 10(7).
- Pashler, H., D. Rohrer, N.J. Cepeda, and S.K. Carpenter. 2007. "Enhancing Learning and Retarding Forgetting: Choices and Consequences." *Psychon Bull Rev* 14(2): 187–193.
- Radossawljewitsch, P.R. 1907. "Das Behalten und Vergessen bei Kindern und Erwachsenen nach experimentellen Untersuchungen [An experimental investigation of the retention and forgetting among children and adults]. Leipzig: Nemnich.

- Ramachandran, A., C. Snehalatha, J. Ram, S. Selvam, M. Simon, A. Nanditha, A.S. Shetty, I.F. Godsland, N. Chaturvedi, A. Majeed, N. Oliver, C. Toumazou, K.G. Alberti, and D.G. Johnston. 2013. "Efficacy of Mobile Phone Messaging in Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes by Lifestyle Change in Men at High Risk—A Randomised Clinical Trial in India." *Journal of Association of Physicians of India* 61.
- Reider, L.P. 1994. *Computers, Graphics and Learning*. Madison, WI: Brown & Benchmark Publishers.
- Rizzo, C.A. 2017. "Microlearning at John Hancock Investments Drives Results." *Learning Solutions*, October 9. [www.learningsolutionsmag.com/articles/2466/microlearning-at-john-hancock-investments-drives-results](http://www.learningsolutionsmag.com/articles/2466/microlearning-at-john-hancock-investments-drives-results).
- Roediger, H.L., and J.D. Karpicke. 2006 "The Power of Testing Memory: Basic Research and Implications for Educational Practice." *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 1(3).
- Russell, L. 2015. *Project Management for Trainers*, 2nd ed. Alexandria, VA: ATD Press.
- Schank, R., and C. Cleary. 1995. *Engines for Education*. Evanston, IL: The Institute for Learning Sciences.
- Shaw, T., A. Long, S. Chopra, B.P. Kerfoot. 2011. "Impact on Clinical Behavior of Face-to-Face Continuing Medical Education Blended With Online Spaced Education: A Randomized Controlled Trial." *J Contin Educ Health Prof* 31(2):103–108.
- Siemens, G. 2005. "Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age." *International Journal of Instructional Technology & Distance Learning* 2(1). [www.itdl.org/journal/jan\\_05/article01.htm](http://www.itdl.org/journal/jan_05/article01.htm).
- Thalheimer, W. 2017. "Definition of Microlearning." Work-Learning Research, January 13. [www.worklearning.com/2017/01/13/definition-of-microlearning](http://www.worklearning.com/2017/01/13/definition-of-microlearning).

- Thalheimer, W. 2018. “The Learning-Transfer Evaluation Model: Sending Messages to Enable Learning Effectiveness.” Work-Learning Research. [www.worklearning.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Thalheimer-The-Learning-Transfer-Evaluation-Model-Report-for-LTEM-v11.pdf](http://www.worklearning.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Thalheimer-The-Learning-Transfer-Evaluation-Model-Report-for-LTEM-v11.pdf).
- Tipton, S. 2017. “Microlearning: The Misunderstood Buzzword.” LearningRebels.com, July 17. <https://learningrebels.com/2017/07/17/microlearning-the-misunderstood-buzzword>.
- Torgerson, C. 2016. *The Microlearning Guide to Microlearning*. Torgerson Consulting.
- Vlach, H.A., C.M. Sandhofer, and R.A. Bjork. 2014. “Equal Spacing and Expanding Schedules in Children’s Categorization and Generalization.” *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 123:129–137.
- Westin, A. 2017. “Cost to Develop Microlearning?” E-Learning Heroes, June 5. <https://community.articulate.com/discussions/building-better-courses/cost-to-develop-microlearning>. Phil Mayor’s comment on June 6, 2017.

[Buy This Book](#)

# About the Authors



**Karl M. Kapp, EdD**, is an international speaker, scholar, writer, and expert on the convergence of learning, technology, and business with a focus on game-thinking, games, and gamification for learning. He serves as a professor of instructional technology at Bloomsburg University in Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania, where he teaches several graduate courses and serves as the director of the university's Institute for Interactive Technologies. The institute works with businesses, nonprofits, and other organizations to help them create interactive and meaningful instruction.

Karl is an award-winning professor and author or co-author of eight books including the bestselling *The Gamification of Learning and Instruction* and *Play to Learn*. He is currently a senior researcher on a grant sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, which involves the intelligent use of microlearning. He also served as co-principle investigator on two National Science Foundation grants.

Karl is founder of the consulting and game development firm The Wisdom Learning Group, where he consults internationally with

Fortune 100 companies, government entities, and not-for-profits. On a sabbatical from Bloomsburg University, Karl completed a five-week tour of six different countries, where he studied the impact of games and play across cultures. He is now applying those insights to his current work.

Karl has received several industry awards, including the ATD Distinguished Contribution to Talent Development award, which honors those who have had a sustained impact on the talent development field. He was also named one of LinkedIn's Top Voices in Education in 2017 and received the eLearning Guild's honor of becoming a Guild Master in 2018. Karl has been a TEDx speaker and author of eight LinkedIn Learning courses including "Learning How to Increase Learner Engagement." He believes that play, creativity, and game-thinking leads to innovation, productivity, and profitability. Follow Karl on Twitter @kkapp.



**Robyn A. Defelice**, PhD, has served as a strategist and consultant in the learning and performance arena for more than 19 years. She also directs training initiatives for Revolve Solutions LLC, a service-disabled veteran-owned small business. She specializes in organizational learning management and convergence of decentralized training functions, reshaping learning organizations and operational frameworks for efficient, cost-effective sustainment of learning solutions. Her process focuses on total solution management and designing an infrastructure capable of maintaining current learning success while piloting and adopting new learning initiatives.

Robyn's a self-proclaimed geek for industry data that provides insight into the how, what, and why of learning and development. She is an advocate for learning and development teams and helping them understand their own challenges and capabilities for success.

As an adjunct professor with LaSalle University, Robyn teaches the art, science, and business of instructional design and the management of its learning and development projects. She also enjoys volunteering and mentoring emerging TD professionals from Bloomsburg University's instructional technology program, of which she is a proud alum.

Robyn's portfolio includes a range of industries and sectors, including major health and insurance systems and pharmaceutical sales, research, and manufacturing. She has also assisted multiple startups, higher education departments, and state and government agencies and programs.



# Index

## A

- activities for reinforcing learning, 12
- affective learning domain, 33–36
- Aldrich, Clark, 127
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)
  - experiences implementing a microlearning strategy, 72–74
  - learner motivation, 79
- Anderson, Lorin, 30–31
- attitudes, teaching and influencing
  - celebrity endorsements, 35
  - music's effect on, 36
  - within organizations, 33–34
  - testimonials, 35–36
- augmented reality (AR), 169–170
- Axonify microlearning platform, 138–139

## B

- Bacon, Sir Francis, 63
- Bandura, Albert, 24
- Bee Naturals case study
  - persuasive microlearning, 44–45
  - performance microlearning, 46–47
  - persuasive microlearning, 47–48

- post-instruction microlearning, 48–49
- practice-based microlearning, 50
- preparatory microlearning, 51–52
- behavior
  - continuing medical education
    - example of spaced education's effect on, 63–64
  - spacing effect's impact on, 63–64
- behaviorism learning theory, 22–23
- Bloom's Taxonomy, 30–31
- breaking learning into microlearning segments, 12, 15–16, 124
- Burns, Ralph, 65

## C

- change
  - onboarding program example of a small change with a big impact on resources, 98–99, 100–101
  - and risk, 97–98
- Clark, Ruth, 117
- classical conditioning, 22
- cognitive learning domain, 30–33
- cognitivism learning theory, 23–25
- connectivism learning theory, 27–29

constructivism learning theory, 26–27  
content  
    curriculum, 76–79  
    having a maintenance plan for, 99–101  
    microlearning *vs.* traditional courses, 75  
context switching, 44

## D

Dave, RH, 37  
Defelice, Robyn A., 80–81, 82  
defining microlearning  
    authors' definition, 11  
    expert opinions, 9–10  
    necessary elements of microlearning,  
        11–13  
        what microlearning is not, 13–17  
Dempster, Frank, 62  
*Design for How People Learn* (Dirksen), 79  
designing microlearning  
    gamification, 126–127  
    graphic layout and aesthetics,  
        121–123  
    intentionally, 12, 16, 124  
    key takeaways, 132–135  
    NBC Universal (NBCU) example  
        of matching microlearning  
        design with need, 49, 110–113  
    optimal length of a microlearning  
        lesson, 65–66  
    podcasting, 119–121  
    for the psychomotor domain, 38  
    short sims, 127–129  
    storyboarding, 129–132  
    three core concepts for developing a  
        microlearning strategy, 74–76  
    using sound design principles,  
        113–114

video, 123–126  
writing style, 114–119  
Dewey, John, 26  
*Didactics of Microlearning* (Hug), 9–10  
Dillon, JD, 10  
Dirksen, Julie, 79  
Downes, Stephen, 27

## E

Ebbinghaus, Hermann, 57–59, 165  
Ebbinghaus Forgetting Curve, 57–59,  
    165  
*Electronic Performance Support Systems*  
    (Gery), 13  
Elevate app for fundamental skills, 80–82  
engagement  
    brief, 11  
    methods of, 11–12  
    optimal length of a microlearning  
        lesson, 65–66  
*Engines for Education* (Schank), 43–44  
Ericsson, Anders, 49  
estimating microlearning development  
    hours  
    bottom-up estimation (work  
        breakdown structure), 104–105  
    comparing previous similar projects,  
        102–103  
    critical factors for, 101–102  
    difficulty of, 106  
    industry standards, 105–106  
    setup cost, 103, 105  
    weighted factor formulas  
        (Parametric modeling), 103–104  
when using a template-driven  
    microlearning tool, 106  
evaluating microlearning training

- compliance department example, 142–144, 145, 147, 150–152
- effectiveness of the products and the process, 96–97, 149–151
- evaluation models, 144–149
- evaluation planning, 100–101, 168
- four factors for evaluation (cost, resources, processes, sustainment), 152–154
- gathering information over time, 148
- John Hancock Investments example, 138–141
- Kirkpatrick-Katzell four levels of evaluation, 144–149
- questions to ask about the evaluation process, 142–144
- SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats) analysis, 149–152
- using dashboards to access data easily and in real-time, 140–141
- examples of microlearning in action Ashley and Nancy's flashcards for remembering terms, 7–8, 17–18, 23
- diabetes healthier living habits education, 4, 25, 47, 63
- Intercontinental Hotels Group employee training using gamification, 4–5
- Jane's short video to recall return procedures, 7, 28–29, 45–46
- Juan's educational app with daily quizzes, 6–7, 15
- Exponential Organizations* (Ismail), 139
- F**
- failure
- Falco example of unsuccessful micro-learning implementation, 90–93
- formal learning compared to informal learning, 83–84 potential limitations of, 85
- formal *vs.* informal integration of microlearning, 17–19
- four factors for evaluation (cost, resources, processes, sustainment), 152–154
- future of microlearning augmented reality (AR), 169–170 virtual reality (VR), 168–169
- G**
- Gameffective platform, 160–161
- gamification game elements and their use, 126–127
- Gameffective platform, 160–161
- Intercontinental Hotels Group's use of mLevel for employee training, 4–5
- mLevel gamified platform, 4–5
- structural gamification, 126
- The Gamification of Learning and Instruction* (Kapp), 126
- Gates, I., 63
- Gery, Gloria, 13
- graphics and visual design charts and graphs, 122–123
- flowcharts, 123
- knowing when to use graphics, 121–122
- selecting the most appropriate images, 122
- Guo, Philip, 65–66, 123–124

## H

- Hierdeis, Helmwart, 9–10  
history of microlearning  
  flashcards, 13  
  from the perspective of performance support, 13  
sharable content object (SCO), 14  
Skinner’s programmed instruction format, 23  
the term microlearning, 56  
unchanging nature of learning in general, 157–158  
before written language, 13–14  
Hug, Theo, 9–10, 17

## I

- “Impact on Clinical Behavior of Face-to-Face Continuing Medical Education Blended with Online Spaced Education” (study), 63–64  
implementing microlearning. *See* planning and implementing microlearning  
informal learning  
  benefits of, 85  
  compared to formal learning, 83–84  
instructional design principles, 24–25  
instructional unit, 11  
intentional design of microlearning, 12, 16, 124  
Ismail, Salim, 139

## K

- Kapp, Karl M., 126, 167  
Karpicke, Jeffrey D., 63  
Katzell, Raymond, 145  
key performance indicator (KPI), 77  
Kirkpatrick, Donald, 145

Kirkpatrick, Jim, 145

Kirkpatrick, Wendy, 145

Kirkpatrick-Katzell four levels of evaluation, 144–149

Krathwohl, David, 30–31, 34

## L

- learning domains, 29–30  
  affective, 33–36  
  cognitive, 30–33  
  psychomotor, 36–39  
push or pull delivery to the learner, 83–86  
understanding the importance of, 165  
learning ecosystem  
  macro, meso, and micro levels of learning, 9–10, 17–19  
  microlearning as part of the, 14, 17  
  skill development, 15  
learning methods  
  comparing formal *vs.* informal, 83–86  
  Mary example of selecting both push and pull learning, 84–85  
learning theories  
  behaviorism, 22–23  
  cognitivism, 23–25  
  connectivism, 27–29  
  constructivism, 26–27  
  social cognitive theory (SCT), 24  
understanding the importance of, 165

## M

- macro, meso, and micro levels of learning, 9–10, 17–19  
Mayer, John, 117  
Mayor, Phil, 105

- measuring the effectiveness of microlearning. *See evaluating microlearning training memory*
- Ebbinghaus Forgetting Curve, 57–59
- mass practice (cramming), 60
- recall, 62–63
- spacing effect, 60–64
- testing for aiding retention, 62–63
- Memory: A Contribution to Experimental Psychology* (Ebbinghaus), 57
- The Microlearning Guide to Microlearning* (Torgerson), 9
- microlearning map, 76–79, 82, 86
- mLevel gamified platform, 4–5
- motivation
- adding a motivational element to the microlearning map, 82
  - American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) example, 79
  - expectation setting, 79–81
  - self-control, 80–81
  - self-efficacy, 79–81
  - as a want *vs.* as a need, 79
- O**
- operant conditioning, 22
- outcomes of microlearning, 12–13
- P**
- Parametric modeling, 103–104
- participants in microlearning
- focus on performance instead of learning, 13, 16, 46
  - in the psychomotor domain, 38–39
- Pavlov's dogs example of classical conditioning, 22
- Piaget, Jean, 26
- planning and implementing microlearning
- 52 educational emails example, 95–96
- adding new activities for companies already providing microlearning, 93
- evaluation planning, 100–101
- Failco example of unsuccessful implementation, 90–93
- implementation activities, 95
- importance of proper planning, 89–90, 92–93
- Payoneer example of using microlearning and gamification on a daily basis, 158–162
- pilot testing, 95–96
- production stages
- 1–pre-production, 94–95, 124
  - 2–production, 95–96
  - 3–post-production, 96–97
- risk and change management, 97–99
- storyboarding, 129–132
- sustainment and maintenance of content, 99–101
- podcasting
- audio considerations, 119–120
  - benefits of, 119
  - introducing content, 120–121
  - recording equipment, 120
- “The Power of Testing Memory Basic Research and Implications for Educational Practice” (paper), 63
- Presentr practice-based microlearning app, 49, 110–113
- Project Management for Trainers* (Russell), 103–104
- psychomotor learning domain, 36–39
- push *vs.* pull delivery of learning, 83–86

## R

research on microlearning  
applicability of experiments to the real world, 58–59  
Ebbinghaus Forgetting Curve, 57–59, 165  
keeping up with, 166  
optimal length of a microlearning lesson, 65–66  
recall, 62–63  
spacing content, 61–64  
resource libraries, 14–15  
retrieval  
the act of retrieving information as a learning event, 61, 62  
spaced retrieval, 61  
testing as an effective way of learning, 62–63  
risk  
the balance between low and high risk, 98  
and change, 97–98  
onboarding program example of a small change with a big impact on resources, 98–99, 100–101  
Rizzo, Charles A., 138–141  
Roediger, Henry L., III, 63  
Rothnie, Neomie, 162  
Russell, Lou, 103–104

## S

Schank, Roger, 43–44  
short sims  
benefits of, 127–129  
developing, 129  
goal of, 128  
Siemens, George, 27–28  
Skinner, B.F., 22–23

social cognitive theory (SCT), 24  
storyboarding  
benefits of, 129–130  
creating a table of the script, blocking, and digital assets used, 130–132  
strategies for implementing microlearning  
American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) example, 72–74  
civil engineering example of a microlearning map, 77–79, 82, 86  
developing a plan, 166–167  
formal *vs.* informal learning, 82–86  
making a microlearning map, 76–79, 82, 86  
necessary components, 74, 87  
three core concepts, 74–76  
Sullenberger, Chesley (“Sully”), 15  
sustainment and maintenance of content, 99–101  
SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats) analysis, 149–152

## T

Thalheimer, Will, 10  
time  
and engagement, 65–66  
estimating the time it takes to develop microlearning, 101–106  
ideal spacing between learning events, 61–62  
optimal length of a microlearning lesson, 65–66  
Tipton, Shannon, 9  
Torgerson, Carla, 9  
types of microlearning  
determining which type to use, 163–165

pensive, 43–45, 163  
performance-based, 45–47, 163–165  
persuasive, 47–48, 164  
post-instruction, 48–49, 164  
practice-based, 49–50, 164  
preparatory, 51–52, 164

## U

use cases for microlearning  
about, 41–42  
considerations for the microlearning initiative, 42–43  
determining which use case is most appropriate, 52–53  
pensive, 43–45  
performance-based, 45–47  
persuasive, 47–48  
post-instruction, 48–49  
practice-based, 49–50  
preparatory, 51–52  
use case design worksheet, 52–53

## V

video  
advantages of informal videos, 124–125  
avoiding classroom settings, 124  
best practices, 123–125  
explainer (whiteboard)  
    microlearning, 125–126  
instructor style, 124  
virtual reality (VR), 168–169  
Vygotsky, Lev, 26

## W

work breakdown structure (WBS) for estimating, 104–105

writing style  
active voice, 116–117  
balance between formal and informal tone, 116–117  
concise scripting, 114–116, 167  
creating good microlearning questions, 117–119  
forward scheduling question example, 118  
Keep It Short and Simple (K-I-S-S), 114–115, 121–122  
three-step outline, 115–116

## Z

zone of proximal development, 26